



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,692	_	07/09/2003	Jodi Nelson	47-00B	1639
23713	7590	09/01/2005		EXAM	INER
GREENLE	EE WINN	ER AND SULLI	JONES, D	JONES, DWAYNE C	
4875 PEAR	L EAST (	CIRCLE			
SUITE 200		•	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOULDER, CO 80301				1614	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/616,692	NELSON, JODI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dwayne C. Jones	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the co	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	<del>_</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 08312005					

Application/Control Number: 10/616,692 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 34-36, drawn to a composition, classified in class 546, subclass
     152+.
  - II. Claims 1-13, drawn to method of making and using a pharmaceutical, classified in class 514, subclass 311+.
  - III. Claims 14-23, drawn to method of making and using a pharmaceutical, classified in class 514, subclass 311+.
  - IV. Claims 24-28, drawn to method of making and using a pharmaceutical, classified in class 514, subclass 311+.
  - V. Claims 29-33, drawn to method of making and using a pharmaceutical, classified in class 514, subclass 311+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and (II-V) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for

Art Unit: 1614

using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as with the administration of L-Dopa or deprenyl.

- 3. Inventions II and (III-V) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions for example a method of treating an ailment of a psychotic disease schizophrenia, as in claim 14, not only has different modes of operation than treating a movement disorder, such as Parkinson's disease, as in claim 9, and also is not required to treat both ailments at once, which shows that these inventions, as well as the inventions of Groups IV and V, are in fact capable of not be used together.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

  Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance,

Application/Control Number: 10/616,692

Art Unit: 1614

whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Page 4

- 6. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.
- 7. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.
- 8. Claims 1-36 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the various types of quinoline compounds, and the even more generic term adjuvant, which is embraces many terms inter alia calcium citrate, Vitamin D, celecoxib,

Art Unit: 1614

cimetidine, diphenhydramine, meclizine, cetirizine, loratadine, Vitamin C, and melatonin, peripheral metabolism inhibitor, , as well as the numerous types of conditions or diseases that are to be treated, such as Drug-Induced Dyskinesias, Parkinson's disease, conditions characterized by nigrostriatal degeneration, Wilson's Disease, to name a few. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. A telephone call to the attorney is not required where: 1) the restriction requirement is complex, 2) the application is being prosecuted pro se, or 3) the examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made, see MPEP Sect. 812.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. C. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1614

0578. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, may be reached at (571) 272-0951. The official fax No. for correspondence is (571)-273-8300.

Also, please note that U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications are no longer supplied with Office actions. Accordingly, the <u>cited U.S.</u> patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. As an alternate source, <u>all U.S. patents and patent application</u> publications are available on the USPTO web site (<a href="http://www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a>), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications may be obtained from Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Busines's Center (EBC) at 1-866-217-9197 (toll free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tech. Ctr. 1614 August 31, 2005